

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,647	08/28/2003	LeRoy L. Whinnery JR.	SD-8466	1670
20567 7.	590 . 10/07/2005	•	EXAMINER	
SANDIA CORPORATION			. COONEY, JOHN M	
P O BOX 5800 MS-0161		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87185-0161			1711	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7		. 1		120			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/652,647	WHINNERY ET AL	L.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John m. Cooney	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai - If NO period for reply is specified ab	FROM THE MAILING D under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ing date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory period ended period for reply will, by statuter than three months after the mailing	DATE OF THIS COMM 136(a). In no event, however, n will apply and will expire SIX (6 e, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed i) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co				
Status							
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on	<u></u> ·		•			
2a) This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)	n(s) is/are withdra allowed. rejected. objected to.	awn from consideratior	1.				
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is ob 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed o	•		d to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	I						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pape) 5) D Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date be of Informal Patent Application (PTO r:)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/652,647

Art Unit: 1711

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-18,39-59, and 64-67, drawn to product, classified in class 521, subclass 155.

- II. Claims 19-38, drawn to process, classified in class 521, subclass 50.
- III. Claims 60-63, drawn to treated product, classified in class 428, subclass 423.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I. and III. are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a structural insert and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions II. and I. are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2)

Application/Control Number: 10/652,647 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as ambient open mold curing.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. COONEY, JB.
PRIMARY EXAMINER